## SYNTHESIS OF (-)-REISWIGIN A. ASSIGNMENT OF ABSOLUTE AND RELATIVE CONFIGURATION

## Barry B. Snider\* and Yang Ke

## Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254-9110

*Summary:* The synthesis of the anti-viral agent (-)-reiswigin A (1) was accomplished in 8 steps in 7% overall yield. The absolute stereochemistry and configuration of the side chain methyl group of the natural product were assigned as shown in 17.

Diterpenes reiswigins A  $(1)$  and B  $(2)$ ,<sup>1</sup> recently isolated from a deepwater marine organism Epipolasis reiswigi, show potent in-vitro activity against Herpes simplex type I virus and murine A59 hepatitis virus. The gross structure and relative stereochemistry at three of the four chiral centers (carbons  $1, 7$  and  $8$ ) were determined by 2D NMR spectroscopy. 1 The configuration at carbon 13 and the absolute stereochemistry were not assigned. The structural novelty and potentially useful biological activity of these compounds prompted us to develop an efficient and practical total synthesis of 1 that would permit the facile preparation of analogues.

We chose to develop a synthesis of 1 which would control the relative and absolute stereochemistry at carbons I,7 and 8, but would lead to a mixture of isomers at carbon 13 so that we would be assured of producing both reiswigin A and its epimer at carbon 13. Retrosynthetic analysis suggested that the cycloheptenone moiety of **1** could be easily made by an intramolecular ene reaction of unsaturated aldehyde 3 followed by oxidation and conjugation. Aldehyde 3 could be prepared by conjugate addition to enal 4 followed by methylation of the enolate. Conjugate addition to 4 should occur selectively from the  $\beta$ -face. Methylation of the enolate, however, would be expected to occur predominantly from the less hindered  $\alpha$ -face rather than the desired  $\beta$ -face.



The elegant studies of Koga and co-workers provided an efficient solution to this problem.2 They found that addition of vinylmagnesium bromide to the tert-leucine tert-butyl ester imine of 1-cyclopentenecarboxaldehyde (6) followed by quenching of the anion with methyl iodide and hydrolysis gives 5 in 62% yield and 92% enantiomeric excess. The chiral auxiliary directs both the addition of the Grignard reagent from the  $\beta$ -face, and the addition of the methyl group from the "more-hindered"  $\beta$ -face. This result suggested that the conversion of 4 to 3 proposed above could be accomplished by Koga's method. However, addition of phenylmagnesium bromide and methyl iodide to 6 gave  $7$  and aliphatic Grignard reagents were not investigated.<sup>2</sup>



Model studies were carried out with racemic 6, prepared from 1-cyclopentenecarboxaldehyde<sup>3</sup> and racemic tert-leucine tert-butyl ester.<sup>4</sup> Addition of 4 equiv of 3-methyl-3-butenylmagnesium bromide to 6 in THF at -25 °C

(7 h) followed by addition of 6 equiv of methyl iodide and 7 equiv of HMPA (0.5 h, -25 °C; 15 h, 25 °C) and hydrolysis provides 8 in 66% yield. The stereochemistry of 8 was assigned based on its <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum in which the ring methyl group absorbed at  $\delta$  13.9 as expected for a methyl group cis to an adjacent substituent.<sup>2</sup> The intramolecular ene reaction is most easily accomplished by treatment of 8 with 1.1 equiv of Me<sub>2</sub>AlCl<sup>5,6</sup> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C for 30 min to give a 91% yield of 9 as predominantly a single diastereomer of unassigned stereochemistry. Oxidation of 9 with PCC in  $CH_2Cl_2$  followed by conjugation of the double bond (benzene, catalytic TsOH and H<sub>2</sub>O, reflux, 12 h) gives the desired enone 10 in 82% yield. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, IR and UV spectra of 10 are similar to those of reiswigin A.7



Having established that Koga's procedure could be used to convert 4 to 3, we developed a four step route to enal 4. Sakurai reaction<sup>8</sup> of 2-cyclohexenyltrimethylsilane<sup>9</sup> with 6-methyl-2-hepten-4-one<sup>10</sup> (1 equiv TiCl<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -40 °C, 1 h) gives 11 in 88% yield. Protection of the ketone as the ketal with ethylene glycol (TsOH, benzene, reflux, 12 h, azeotropic removal of  $H_2O$ ), followed by oxidation with osmium tetroxide (1 mol %) and N-methymorpholine N-oxide<sup>11</sup> in aqueous acetone affords diol 12 in 78% yield. Cleavage of the diol with sodium periodate in aqueous acetone gives 13 which undergoes an aldol condensation catalyzed by piperidine and acetic acid in toluene ( $0^{\circ}$ C, 12 h)<sup>12</sup> to give 4 in 69% yield from 12 as a racemic mixture of diastereomers.



Reaction of (L)-tert-leucine tert-butyl ester<sup>13</sup> and 4 in hexane for 12 h at 25 °C in the presence of 4A molecular sieves<sup>2</sup> gives a mixture of diastereomeric imines 14 and 15 in 94% yield. Reaction of this mixture with 3-methyl-3-butenylmagnesium bromide in THF at -20 °C followed by alkylation of the enamide with methyl iodide and hydrolysis as described above gives 3 and minor amounts of other diastereomers in 32% yield along with 50% recovered optically active 4. This key step in the synthesis not only controls the relative stereochemistry at carbons 1,7 and 8 but also results in a kinetic resolution, converting 14 selectively to reiswigin precursor 3 and leaving 15 largely unreacted. The tert-butyl group of the imine and the side chain on the cyclopentane in 14 both direct the delivery of the 3-methyl-3-butenyl and methyl groups from the less hindered  $\beta$ -face. In 15 the tert-butyl group of the imine directs delivery from the  $\beta$ -face while the side chain directs delivery from the  $\alpha$ -face. Imine 15 therefore reacts much more slowly than 14 and gives rise largely to recovered 4 and small amounts of diastereomers, rather than enantiomers, of 3.

The synthesis is completed by treatment of 3 with Me<sub>2</sub>AlCl in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C for 30 min to give a mixture of 16 and the corresponding keto alcohol resulting from hydrolysis of the ketal. Oxidation of this mixture with PCC in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  followed by treatment with TsOH in wet benzene at reflux for 12 h to complete hydrolysis of the ketal and bring the double bond into conjugation gives a  $3:2$  mixture of reiswigin A (17) and 18 in 84% yield from 3. Separation by preparative HPLC<sup>14</sup> gives pure samples of reiswigin A (17) and its carbon 13 epimer 18.<sup>15</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and IR spectral data and the HPLC retention time of synthetic reiswigin A are identical to those of the natural product. $16,17$ 



The availability of both 17 and 18 permits the assignment of structure 17 to natural reiswigin A. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 17 and 18 are quite similar except for the chemical shift of the 14-methyl group which absorbs at  $\delta$  0.90 and  $\delta$  20.1 in reiswigin A (17) and  $\delta$  0.80 and  $\delta$  13.6 in 18 and the chemical shift of carbon 15 which occurs at  $\delta$  45.4 in 17 and  $\delta$  49.9 in 18. Although the use of chemical shift data to assign configuration to conformationally mobile molecules is fraught with danger, the differences in this case are sufficient to permit tentative assignment as shown. In a variety of related molecules, the methyl group in the stereoisomer corresponding to 17 absorbs downfield by 0.1 to 0.2 ppm in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, and downfield by 4-7 ppm in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum.<sup>18,19</sup> The origins of these shift differences can be seen in the Newman projections 19 and 20 of the two low energy conformations of 17 and 18. Conformation 20 has only 2 gauche butane interactions, but has a high energy syn-axial interaction between  $R_2$  and carbon 6. Conformation 19 has 3 gauche butane interactions, but no high energy interactions with carbon 6. In conformation 19, the  $14$  methyl group of 18 is in a gauche relationship with carbons 7 and 9 and should be shifted upfield from the 14 methyl group of 17 which is in a gauche relationship only with carbon 9. In conformation 20, the methyl groups of both 17 and 18 are in a gauche relationship with one carbon. However, the 14 methyl group of 17 is in a deshielding syn-axial relationship with carbon  $6.19$  Therefore, in each conformation the methyl carbon 14 of 17 should absorb downfield from the

methyl carbon of I8 permitting a tentative structural assignment to be made. Similar analysis predicts that the methylene carbon 15 of 17 should absorb upfield from the methylene carbon of 18 as is observed.



17,  $R_1$  = side chain,  $R_2$  = CH<sub>3</sub><br>18,  $R_1$  = CH<sub>3</sub>,  $R_2$  = side chain

The absolute configuration of reiswigin A (1) can be assigned as shown in 17 by comparison of the CD spectrum with that of the synthetic material. Natural reiswigin A has a CD maximum of  $\theta$  = -4400 ° at 300 nm. The synthetic material has an identical CD maximum. The absolute stereochemistry of synthetic 17 and 18 is known since Koga determined the direction of addition to  $(L)$ -tert-leucine tert-butyl ester imines; natural  $(\cdot)$ -reiswigin A therefore has the absolute configuration shown. Furthermore, kinetic resolution in the Grignard addition to 14 and 15 must be very efficient since  $\lceil \theta \rceil$  for synthetic 17 is comparable to that of natural reiswigin A.

A practical synthesis of reiswigin A has been accomplished in 8 steps in 7% overall yield. The absolute stereochemistry and configuration at carbon 13 of the natural product have been determined.

## References and Notes

- Kashman, Y.; Hirsch, S.; Koehn, F.; Cross, S. Tetrahedron Letr. 1987,28, 5461.  $1_{-}$
- $2.$ Kogen, H.; Tomioka, K.; Hashimoto, S.-I.; Koga, K. *Tefrahdron 1981,37, 3951* and *Tetrahedron Letr. 1980,21, 4005.*
- Brown, J. B.; Henbest, H. B.; Jones, E. R. H. J. *Chem. Sot.* 1950, 3634.
- :: Izumiya, N.; Fu, S.-C. J.; Bimbaum, S. M.; Greenstein, J. P. J. Biol. *Chem.* 1953,205, 221. Jaeger, D. A.; Broadhurst, M. D.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 717.
- 5. Snider, B. B.; Rodini, D. J.; Karras, M.; Kirk, T. C.; Deutsch, E. A.; Cordova, R.; Price, R. T. *Tetrahedron 1981,37, 3927.*
- 6. Quinkerr., G.; Schmalz, H.-G.; Walzer, E.; Gross, S.; Kowalczyk-Przewloka, T.; Schierloh, C.; Diirner, G.; Bats, J. W.; Kessler, H. *Leibigs Ann. Chem. 1988, 283.*
- 7. *10:* 1H NMR (CDCI,) 6 5.83 (br s, l), 2.2-2.4 (m, 2), 1.4-2.1 (m, 9), 1.90 (br s, 3), 1.08 (s, 3); 13C NMR (CDC13) 6 207.5, 152.2, 127.4, \$5.7, 43.1, 36.3, 34.9, 30.9, 28.6, 25.6, 19.4, 19.0.
- 8. Sakurai, H.; Hosomi, A.; Hayashi, J. Org. Syn. 1984,62, 86.
- 9. Eaborn, C.; Jackson, R. A.; Pearce, R. J. *Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* 1974, 2055.
- 10. Birch, A. J.; Macdonald, P. L.; Powell, V. H. *J. Chem. Soc. C* **1970**, 1469.
- VanRheenen, V.; Cha, D. Y.; Hartley, W. M. Org. Syn. 1978,58,43.
- 12. Barriere, J. C.; Cleophax, J.; Gero, S. D.; Vuilhorgne, M. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1983**, 66, 296,
- 13. We thank Dr. Karl Heinz Drauz of Degussa AG for a generous gift of (L)-terr-leucine.
- 14. HPLC separation was accomplished on a 10 mm x 25 cm ODS column with 70.30 methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O as eluent at a flow rate of 2 ml/min. The retention times are  $128 \text{ min}$  (18) and  $137 \text{ min}$  (17).
- 15. 18: 'H NMR (CDCI<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.80 (br s, 1), 1.90 (br s, 3), 1.10 (s, 3), 0.93 (d, 6, J = 6.6), 0.80 (d, 3, J = 6.5);  $U$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6 210.3 (C<sub>2</sub>), 152.5 (C<sub>4</sub>), 127.4 (C<sub>3</sub>), 56.4 (C<sub>1</sub>), 52.6 (C<sub>17</sub>), 49.9 (C<sub>15</sub>), 46.4 and 44.8  $(C_7 \text{ and } C_8)$ , 35.2 and 34.9  $(C_5 \text{ and } C_{10})$ , 28.6  $(C_{12})$ , 28.6  $(C_{13})$ , 24.5  $(C_{18})$ , 24.2  $(C_6)$ , 22.6 and 22.6  $(C_1)$ and  $C_{20}$ , 20.5 (C<sub>9</sub>), 19.8 (C<sub>11</sub>), 13.6 (C<sub>14</sub>); Carbon 16 was not observed.
- 16. We thank Dr. Frank Koehn for spectral data and a sample of natural reiswigin A.
- 17. The 'H NMR data reported for 1 in reference 1 are not referenced correctly. 0.08 ppm should be subtracted from the reported  $\delta$  values.
- 18. (a) Look, S.; Fenical, W. *Tetrahedron, 1987,43,* 3363. (b) Forster, P. G.; Ghisalberti, E. L.; Jefferies, P. R.; Poleti, V. M.; Whiteside, N. J. *Phytochem.1986, 25, 1377. (c) Mori,* **K.; Waku, M.** *Tetrahedron*  **1984,** 40, 305. (d) Narwid, **T. A.;** Cooney, **K. E.;** Uskokovic, M. R. *Hefv. Chim. Acta 1974,57,* 771. (e) Trachtenberg, E. N.; Byon. C.; Gut, M. J. *Am.* **Chem. Sot. 1977, 99, 6145. (f) Crews, P.;** Naylor, S. *Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 1985,48, 204. (g)* Kisster, F.-H.; Wolf, H.; Kluge, H. *Leibigs Ann. Chem. 1986, 78.*
- 19. Stothers, J. B.; Tan, C. T.; Teo, K. C. J. Mag. Res. **1975**, 20, 570. Batchelor, J. G.; *Ibid.* **1975**, 18, 212.

(Received in *USA* 28 February 1989)